

STScI | SPACE TELESCOPE SCIENCE INSTITUTE

EXPANDING THE FRONTIERS OF SPACE ASTRONOMY

The JWST Exposure Time Calculator

Klaus Pontoppidan JWST Master Class

November 2019

Table of Contents

- History and design philosophy
- Basic algorithm and architecture
- Strategies
- Detector readout and noise
- Reference database
- Scenes and sources
- The JWST Background
- Special effects
- Benchmarks and testing
- A collaborative environment
- The Pandeia engine

First things first – where can I find the ETC?

jwst.etc.stsci.edu

Welcome to the JWST Exposure Time Calculator



News

Welcome to version 1.5 of the JWST ETC!

This release features new instrument modes, accuracy improvements, usability enhancements, and more: see the Release Notes for details, and be sure to review the Known Issues for this release.

When you log in to the 1.5 ETC, your old workbooks will be marked "Out of Date":

- · When you load them, they will open in Read-Only mode: this ensures that your previous results are not overwritten and remain available to you for reference.
- If you copy an out of date workbook, and load the copy, all its calculations will be automatically updated for you with the current version of the software.
- · For more information, see ETC Releases and Out-of-Date Workbooks.

Some history

- Imaging
 - NIRCam SW+LW, NIRISS, MIRI
- Slit spectroscopy
 - NIRSpec FS, MIRI LRS
- IFU spectroscopy
 - NIRSpec IFU, MIRI MRS
- Multi-object spectroscopy
 - NIRSpec MSA
- Slitless spectroscopy
 - NIRISS WFSS, NIRCam WFSS
- Time Series Observations
 - MIRI LRS, NIRISS SOSS, NIRCam grism+weak lens
- Coronagraphy
 - NIRCam spots + wedges, MIRI Lyot, MIRI 4QPMs
- Aperture Masking Interferometry
 - NIRISS AMI
- Target Acquisition
 - All instruments

- The JWST ETC is required to support all science modes and related target acquisition.
- Originally, it was envisioned that the JWST ETC would be similar to the HST ETC.
- It became clear that using the HST ETC as a basic design was not viable.
- Works ok for imaging, but not for:
 - the advanced JWST modes
 - JWST detector noise / non-destructive readout
 - Supporting modern user interaction and collaborations
- Something more modern was needed.

2012: The first prototype





2013: Recommendations to satisfy the ETC requirements for all observing modes within a common framework.

1) The ETC should use a three-dimensional (two spatial and one spectral) framework.

2) The ETC should use a PSF library, for instance as generated by the WebbPSF tool.

3) The noise propagation should include correlated noise.

4) Post-observation additions of multiple exposures, subtraction of backgrounds, extraction of photometry and spectroscopy [...] should be explicitly modeled under the unifying concept of "observing strategy".

5) The ETC engine should have a stable API supporting different clients, including APT, a user web form and a standalone application.

6) ETC calculations should be sufficiently fast to support efficient comparative parameter studies, as well as inverse problems.

7) The ETC should be template-based for all three main inputs (instrument configuration, target design, observing strategy).

February 12, 2016

Accordingly:

The JSTAC would like to recommend that the Exposure Time Calculators (ETC) that has been under development should be released to the science community as soon as practical. The ETC should be populated with the best-available measured or model numbers from the instruments and the telescope, and the current best estimates of likely backgrounds.

The production ETC

Exposure Time Calculator Edit - Expand -

Calculations Scenes and Sources Upload Spectra Caveats and Limitations

MIRI 🗸	NIR	Cam - NIRISS -	NIRSpec -				
ID •	0	Mode -	λ-	Scn -	(s) -	SNR -	A
3		nirspec mos	1.15	1	440.21	1.83	0
2		miri imaging	5.61	1	277.50	7.97	0
1		nircam lw_imaging	2.50	1	1954.09	87.84	0
-	-			-			-







Reports	0							
Calculation	selected: 3, M	ode: nirspec	o mos					
Report	Warnings	Errors	Downloa	ds				
Instrument	Filter/Disperser	:		f100lp/g140m				
Extraction	Aperture Positio	n (arcsec):		[0.00, 0.00]				
Wavelength Values (mic	1.15							
Size of Extraction Aperture (arcsec): N/A								
Total Time	440.21							
Total Expos	440.21							
Maximum I		8.7e-4						
Maximum I	>9999							
Extracted F	0.09							
Standard D	-/sec):	0.05						
Extracted S		1.83						
Input Back	0.46							
Total Backs (e-/sec):	ground Flux in E	xtraction Ap	erture	0.11				
Total Sky B Aperture (e	ackground Flux -/sec):	in Extractio	n	0.11				

anon_12898 - Help -





3D ETC engine (pandeia.engine)

Reference database (pandeia_data)

- Throughputs
- Detector noise
- PSFs

Web Application (jwst.etc.stsci.edu)

- User interface
- Collaborative functionality

JWST Background Model

Also available via jwst_backgrounds





Engine algorithm: The scene cube

- 1. Create astrophysical scene
 - Abstract cube in surface brightness
 - Jy/sq. arcsec (wavelength)
- 2. Sample scene in angular and wavelength space
 - Conserve flux, resolve lines, a oversample JWST resolution
 - Point sources centered in mini 2x2 pixel grids.
 - Jy/sq. arcsec (wavelength)
- 3. Convolve each wavelength plane with *monochromatic* PSF
 - PSF shape is color-dependent!
 - Jy/sq. arcsec (wavelength)



Engine algorithm: The scene cube

- Multiply by telescope throughput and convert to a photon rate
 - Photons/s/sq. arcsec/micron (wavelength)
- Multiply by instrument throughput + detector quantum efficiency (QE)
 - Depending on filter/disperser
 - Electrons/s/sq. arcsec/micron (wavelength)
- 6. Project cube onto rate image (more on this later)
 - Electrons/s/pixel





Imaging projection

$$F(x,y) = \int S_{\lambda}^{\text{rate}}(\xi,\eta,\lambda) d\lambda \quad [\text{electrons/s/pixel}]$$

Spectral projection

$$\begin{split} F(\lambda, y) &= \frac{d\lambda}{dx} \int S_{\lambda}^{\text{rate}} \times M_{\text{slit}}(\xi, \eta) d\xi \\ &= \frac{d\lambda}{dx} \Sigma_i S_{\lambda}^{\text{rate}} \times M_{\text{slit}}(\xi_i, \eta_j) \quad \text{[electrons/s/pixel]} \end{split}$$

Slitless projection

$$F(x,y) = \int S_{\lambda}^{\text{rate}}(\xi(x,y,\lambda),\eta(x,y),\lambda) \sqrt{\left(\frac{d\xi}{d\lambda}\right)^2 + 1} d\lambda$$

Engine algorithm: The detector level

- 7. Apply MULTIACCUM noise formula to the rate image.
 - Creates a noise image
 - Standard deviation in Electrons/s/pixel
- 8. Extract photometry or 1D spectra by applying linear noise propagation
 - Use both rate and noise image
 - Dimensionless signal-to-noise ratio
- 9. Celebrate! That was a lot of work.





- A **strategy** is a prescription for how to go from a detector image to an extracted product.
- Strategies can be
 - Aperture photometry
 - Aperture spectral extraction
 - IFU extraction with a background observation ("nod off scene")
 - Coronagraphic contrast'
 - ...
- Strategies are implemented as classical error propagation.





 $(F_{tot}) = \overline{a}C\overline{a}^T$

covariance matrix of pixels (1,2,...,N)

Strategy example: Imaging aperture photometry



In the ETC, **"noiseless background"** means that the background term is 0. That is, the background level is "magically" perfectly subtracted.

Perform Background Subtraction Using

- background region
- o noiseless sky background



Note that the apertures are not currently drawn in the ETC



Aperture extraction / fixed slit



Full shutter extraction / -2,0,2 shutter pattern



angle Multi-dither strategies – IFUs and coronagraphy



STScI | SPACE TELESCOPE SCIENCE INSTITUTE

Frames, groups, integrations, and exposures

- Subarray = the window on the detector that is being read out
- Frame = one complete read of the detector or subarray
- Group = a set of consecutive frames that are averaged (some may be skipped)
- Integration = one non-destructive ramp
- Exposure = a set of consecutive integrations at the same pointing
- **Dither** = an exposure at a new pointing

The combination of readout pattern, ngroups, nints, nexps is called "Detector Setup"



Why use MULTIACCUM non-destructive readout



- When you count the same sheep multiple times, you reduce the read noise.
- Some sheep are correlated.



MULTIACCUM: Now with math

$$Var[S_{total}] = \frac{6}{5} \frac{(n^2 + 1)(n - 1)}{n(n + 1)} bt_g \left[1 - \frac{5}{3} \frac{m^2 - 1}{m(n^2 + 1)} \frac{t_f}{t_g} \right] + 12 \frac{(n - 1)}{mn(n + 1)} \sigma_{ron}^{-2}$$
(36)
Robberto 2009
Variance of slope

m = Number of frames per group

n = Number of groups per integration

Take-home message:

The read noise decreases as the root of the number of frames per integration (m*n)

Exposure time, measurement time and saturation time

"exposure time" – The array-based time the detector is operating during a single expose command, including resets but excluding the initial synchronization time. This time includes all integrations in an exposure, but no repetitions per pointing, per tile, or per observation.

"measurement time" – The pixel-based time interval between the first and last measurements of a pixel in an integration times the number of integrations per exposure. Here a measurement is a value used on the ground to determine count rate.

"saturation time" – The pixel-based time interval from the reset of a pixel to the final read of that pixel in an integration times the number of integrations per exposure. This time depends on exposure parameters, not target brightness or instrument throughput.

"exposure duty cycle" – The pixel-based measurement time divided by the arraybased exposure time. How is saturation treated in the ETC?







Two types of saturation:

- **Partial saturation** the integration saturates before it completes, but more than the minimum number of groups are unsaturated.
- Full saturation the integration saturates before the minimum number of groups is reached.
- The minimum ngroups is usually 2.

Finding the best exposure parameters

Selecting the optimal combination of readout pattern, ngroups, nints and nexps is a trade-off.

- More frames decreases read noise.
- Shorter groups increases data volume.
- Longer groups increases the chance of a cosmic ray hit during the group.
- Shorter groups/longer integrations make cosmic ray corrections better.
- Shorter integrations make ramp fits more uncertain in the presence of non-linearity.
- More dithered exposures decreases flat field errors.
 - Note: In the ETC all exposures are assumed to be dithered, except for time-series observations.
- Patterns that skip a lot of frames have higher read noise, but have slightly better duty cycle.



Pandeia reference data





- Uses WebbPSF to calculate point spread functions including realistic wavefront errors. <u>WebbPSF Tool</u>
- Almost 5000 individual monochromatic PSFs.
- Subsampled by integer factor of pixel size.

Fun fact: The observed PSF depends on the color of the astronomical source.

NIRCam SW PSFs (0.6-5.2 micron)



The JWST Background Model

- JWST uses a dynamic "background model generator" (BMG)
- Components of the background are:
 - Galactic interstellar medium+stars
 - Zodiacal light (position+time dependent)
 - Out-of-field stray light (position+time dependent)
 - Telescope+spacecraft thermal emission
- Two ways to use backgrounds in the ETC:
 - **"Dated background":** The actual background for a given RA, Dec, and date.
 - **"Dateless background":** Given RA, Dec, the low (10%), medium (50%), or high (90%) percentile of the background range over a full year.



A. Noriega-Crespo

Zodiacal and Galactic backgrounds

- Zodi+ISM heritage from Spitzer's operational system (IPAC)
 - Data are from COBE/DIRBE
 - ISM "cirrus" uses fixed spectrum, including PAHs. Intensity set by Schlegel et al. extinction maps, which were fits to IRAS + DIRBE/COBE data
 - Wainscoat et al. 1998 star counts model

Fun fact: Benchmark sensitivities computed for (J2000, 17:26:44 -73:19:56) on June 19.



A. Noriega-Crespo

Thermal self-emission

- Thermal emission from JWST itself is the dominant background at ~>15um.
- Sum of 20 blackbodies (P. Lightsey, J. Rigby).
- Produces backgrounds of 0.74 and 174 MJy/SR at 10 and 20 micron. (Reqs. are 3.9 and 200 MJy/sr). Temperatures are as expected: T(sun shield)=89K, T(primary mirror)=54K (hottest segments).
- Blackbody model agrees with Lightsey calculations at 15, 25 micron to within 0.5%.



Thermal-only curve (black line) + Glasse et al. 2015 SPIE (red and blue solid lines.)Zodiacal model of Glasse (dashed lines.) Figure from J. RigbySTScI %



- The background model calculates the stray light for a given position and date, following Lightsey 2016 SPIE.
- Radiance Transfer Functions (RTFs) from Lightsey, at 0.7, 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15 micron.
- Sky map from Galactic + Zody engine (for that position, for that date)
- Interpolate RTFs at other wavelengths.



The JWST Backgrounds Tool (JBT)

pip install jwst_backgrounds

"Bathtub curve"





- Conservative treatment of cosmic rays
- Best known L2 CR rate (Gaia, Herschel)
- Purely statistical treatment
- CR hits effectively lead to loss of measurement time.
- Assume ½ of the ramp (on average) is lost per CR hit.
- Assume N pixels affected by each CR (currently N=5).
- In practice, CR hits do not affect SNR significantly for integrations < 1000 s.
 - Integrations > 1000 s are not recommended.



Target acquisition

- Different than regular science data!
- Models the *GENTALOCATE* algorithm used by the on-board software.
 - Only uses first, middle and last group.
 - On-board software removes cosmic rays by taking:
 - Median([middle-first]/[last-middle])
- Less sensitive than science imaging.
- Fixed square aperture (e.g., 3x3 or 5x5 pixels.
- Can sustain some saturation.

Astrometric precision predicted by the ETC



How do we know it is correct?

- Benchmarked to sensitivity calculations provided by instrument teams.
- Benchmark requirement: 10% in signal-to-noise ratio for 90% of calculations
- In comparing a 3D to a 1D calculation, 10% is considered reasonable.
- Compared calculated limiting sensitivities (10 sigma in 10,000 s) for all major instrument modes to independent calculations provided by the instrument teams.
- Using the same reference data and parameter assumptions.
- Since the benchmark, many parameters and some reference data have changed -> ETC is the best available estimate of JWST performance.



How to use the ETC with APT

		NIRCam Imaging	Mosaic Pro	operties Sp	ecial Requiremer	ts Commen	s		
Module	ALL ᅌ								
Subarray	FULL							,	
2020u,	Primary Dither Type	Primary Dithers	Subnixe	al Dither Type	Subnixel Pos	itions		F	API
Dither Parameters		3	STANDARD		3				
Ditter Farameters							1	17.15	ITTO WILL C. L.
	# Short Filter	F444W	SHALLOW4	Groups/Int	Integrations/E	9 I otal Dithers	2 7	14494.639	16003.3
	<								
Filters	()	Scene ★ 🛛 Backgro	unds Instrum	nent Setup Dete	ector Setup St	rategy			
		Subarray			Peadout pattor				
		Subarray				•			
		FULL		Ŧ	SHALLOW4		Ŧ		
	(Groups		Integrations		Exposures			
		10		3		9			
		Total exposure time:	04:01:34 (14494.	63 s)					
		Total exposure time:	04:01:34 (14494.	63 s)					тс
		Total exposure time:	04:01:34 (14494.	63 s)				E	ETC
		Total exposure time: Total integrations: 27	04:01:34 (14494. 7	63 s)				E	TC
		Total exposure time: Total integrations: 21	04:01:34 (14494. 7	63 s)				E	TC
		Total exposure time: Total integrations: 2	04:01:34 (14494. 7	63 s)				E	TC
		Total exposure time: Total integrations: 2	04:01:34 (14494. 7	63 s)				E	TC

Advanced use: imaging

Scene 1

Red bulge with star-forming knots at z=0.5

MIRI F560W/F770W/F1000W NIRCam F250M/F335M/F460M - 0.0 g -2 -2 0.0 2 arcsec

 \rightarrow Color composites generated from ETC products





NIRSpec MSA

STScI | SPACE TELESCOPE SCIENCE INSTITUTE

The ETC web application: A collaborative work environment

Calcul	ations	Scenes and Sources	Upload S	pectra	Caveats and	l Limitations	Images			
MIRI	• NI	RCam - NIRISS -	NIRSpec -				2D SNR Do	etector	de: miri imaging Saturation	
ID •	Plot	Mode -	Scene -	(s) -	SNR -	A				
12		nircam sw_imaging	4	955.86	10.26	0	2.97 -			
10		nircam lw_imaging	4	955.86	11.50	0	1.40			
4		miri imaging	2	283.05	8.79	0	1.49 -		-	
1		niriss imaging	1	10436.17	10.37	0	- 00.0		-	
-	-		-	,-		-	-1.48 -			
							-2.97	-1.49	0.00 1.48 arcsec	2.
							0	1000	2000	3
							Detector Image			

- Workbooks: Organize and save your ETC calculations
- Build your own sources and scenes library
- Analyze and compare different instruments and modes
- Share your work with your team

User Access Permissions for Small Body System London Workshop	User Email	Add User by Email		
User-	Read	Write	Grant	Revoke
anon_208				

ETC engine available as a Python module

- Python module
- More functionality than available in the web application
- Advanced scripting
 - Parameter space exploration
 - Complex scenes / many sources
 - Any JWST science mode accessible within one framework
- pip install pandeia.engine
- <u>https://pypi.org/project/pandeia.engine/</u>

Fun fact: The engine is a compact, general code, currently only ~16,600 lines of code, excluding standard libraries.

There are many parameters affecting the predicted ETC sensitivities.

That's the point of having a versatile tool, but comes with some responsibility.

- ✓ Think about your background subtraction strategy.
- ✓ Think about what extraction aperture is optimal (point or extended sources).
- ✓ Is your background correct for your target enter the actual RA, DEC. Investigate time dependency.
- ✓ Check that your extraction aperture does not contain contaminating flux from other sources.
- ✓ Is your selected readout pattern giving you the best SNR?