

Recommendations of the Working Group on Anonymizing Proposal Reviews

Based on the available literature, feedback from the community, and the discussions of the Working Group, it is our recommendation that the Institute move toward a dual-anonymous proposal process beginning with Cycle 26 HST in late 2018. We understand that a fully anonymous process requires active participation from community, and that there is notable apprehension as to what the effect of anonymizing will do to the scientific productivity of the observatory. We therefore recommend a phased approach, in which most of review is done anonymously with a sensibility check done at the very end of the review.

[Report of the Working Group on Anonymous Proposal Reviews.pdf](#)

[Presentation to the Space Telescope Users Committee \(WGAPR_STUC_180420.key\)](#)

Guidelines and FAQ

- [Proposer Guidelines in Anonymous Reviews](#)
- [Reviewer Guidelines in Anonymous Reviews](#)
- [FAQ on Anonymizing Proposal Reviews](#)

Purpose of the Working Group

We're working on a plan for implementing anonymous proposal reviews beginning with the Cycle 26 HST TAC process. This includes,

- review and possible revision of the proposal process, from phase I submissions to TAC selection.
- instructions to proposers on how to write anonymous proposals
- instructions to the TAC panels and chairs on how to review anonymous proposals
- information for the community on the issues with singly anonymous peer reviews, and the solutions dual anonymous reviews should address.

The document with our charge, [Working Group on Anonymous Proposing final.pdf](#)

The working group has completed drafts on guidelines [for proposers](#), guidelines for [TAC reviewers](#), and an [FAQ](#).

Membership

Chair: Lou Strolger (STScI)

Members: Peter Garnavich (Notre Dame), Stefanie Johnson (Leeds Business School, U. Colorado, Boulder), Mercedes Lopez- Morales (CfA, STUC), Andrea Prestwich (CfA), Christina Richey (JPL), Paule Sonnentrucker (STScI), Michael Strauss (Princeton), and Brian Williams (STScI)

Ex-officio: Tom Brown, Neill Reid (STScI)

Presentations

The following presentations were given to the WGAPR:

- On the statistics on HST proposal success rates, [HST Proposal Statistics.ppt](#) (N. Reid)
- On Gender Bias in Hubble Proposal Ratings, [Hubble Presentation.pptx](#) (S. Johnson)

The HST Proposal Process

The [HST Peer Review Information](#) site has detailed information from the Science Policies Group on HST peer review, including some history on the evaluation of the review, more [specific guidelines](#) to reviewers, presentations provided at orientation on the observatory status and science activities, lists of previous panelists and chairs, and the [proposal processing procedures](#).

Articles on Dual Anonymous Reviews

The STScI Chief Librarian, Jenny Novacescu, has compiled a few articles on dual-anonymous peer reviews that have been useful in our discussions. See the document [Anonymous-Double Blind Review Annotated Bibliography.docx](#), but before diving into these articles, a place to start might be [this article in Science Magazine](#).