

2018-06-04 TSO Meeting notes

Date

04 Jun 2018

Attendees

- [Kevin Stevenson](#)
- [Brian Brooks](#)
- [Daniel Dicken](#)
- [Jeroen Bouwman](#)
- [Maria Pena-Guerrero](#)
- [Pierre-Olivier Lagage](#)
- [Unknown User \(birkmann\)](#)

Goals

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	TSO Timing Precision	Kevin	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• ISIM clock is not precise enough for TSO• Use FPE clock for relative time and S/C clock for reference point<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Frequency of FPE clock has a slight temperature dependence (10 ppm variation in flux over 25 K variation in temperature)◦ John Stansberry has had success getting CV3 data to check temperature stability of FPE◦ Will discuss results next month• Duration of "second" isn't always constant during an observation<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Offset may be applied during contact to correct drift in s/c clock• Use engineering telemetry data to determine timing offset correction<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Current plan is to flag exposures in which an offset occurs◦ Users will need to access engineering data to determine which integrations were affected◦ Will need to write technical memo (TM) describing spacecraft clock correction, engineering mnemonic SCTA_OFFSET, and how to access engineering data to see where within the exposure the correction was applied
	MIRI		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Working on generating simulated data for TSO MIRI Direct imaging Full Array mode, not high priority• Still reviewing results of passing simulated data through pipeline
	Target fluence recommendations		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• NIRCAM:<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Recommend ~35K ADU• NIRISS:<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Mean bias = 11.6k ADU◦ Mean soft saturation = 50.5 ADU above bias (range is 43k-59k)◦ Recommend ~35k ADU??? (~75% of saturation)
	Data Segmentation		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Files need to be segmented into chunks if they are too large• Baseline version of segmentation code works, but doesn't optimize for different values of NGROUPS• In some cases, the choice of 2GB per segment results in large level-2a products (e.g. 6 GB in size). The 2GB segment size is fine for a single level-1b FITS file. In the case that NGROUPS=3 though, there's very little "compression" due to ramp fitting in the level-2a products, and because the level-2a products inflate the original raw data from int16 to float32, as well as add several more float32 data arrays of the same size (ERR, DQ, ...), resulting in the level-2a products that were ~6 GB in size.<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Decision: Use $\text{size_level1b} = \text{size_level2a} * \text{ngroups} / 10$. Pick what the size_level2a we want, and then L1b and segment size will follow from there via the equation◦ Work hasn't started on new version• Will need to briefly discuss file segments in JDOx TSO strategy pages and link to more detailed discussion

Action items

- ☐ [Daniel Dicken](#): Target fluence recommendation for MIRI
- ☐ [Maria Pena-Guerrero](#): Target fluence recommendation for NIRSpec